

13Mar2025_16

With a view to what is happening in Europe, Russia and America at this time, we feel the urgent need to compel Great Britain, Europe and Scandinavia to persist in farming cereal crops most urgently.

Based on the current information, the war on levies and trade, and the unpredictable nature of the 'new' red American government, it is felt that the need to earnestly produce and harvest grain should be at the forefront of economic and environmental factors in this sphere.

More information will be released in due course.

Secondly, it has been suggested that a new alliance be formed around the notion of aiding the Ukraine. The bulk of Europe is pro-Ukraine, save certain former USSR countries, and now that we can see how the USA is to proceed at this time, it is clear that trust has been impeded. The ambition of a Reassurance Force, is a positive step in the defence of Europe, backed by France, UK and others, yet with the US having changed their minds about how they help and assist the United Nations and other countries, the NATO situation may have to be recalibrated. As such it's expected that in the next three years a new alliance will arise from the ashes of Five Eyes & NATO, and represent an agreed geopolitical stance. From the present information, we surmise that it will include, UK, France, Germany, Spain, Poland, Sweden, Finland, Australia and Canada (9Eyes?). It's believed that a 'nuclear shield' will be in place for the initial phase, with the decommissioning process of such weapons a priority. In short, as long as the nuclear deterrent is available it will be utilised, therefore a new defence system will be constructed, which is an actual shield that acts like a forcefield. Acoustics will more than likely be part of the framework that enable such fields to be used. The development of such technology is excitingly imminent. The ability to literally repel munitions will change warfare: nuclear weapons were an 'accidental backstop' and no one wants to conquer a world they cannot live in.

Parenthetically, we have considered if wars would be able to be enacted virtually, with no loss of life. It may seem odd, but why can't battles be waged virtually? It could be akin to a living chess match, where no lives are harmed, but land can be won or lost according to the 'rules of war.' The laws that have been formed arise from the *sui generis* of the 1899 Hague Peace Conference & 1949 Geneva Conventions. Arguably, the history of warfare rules exist beyond this, as the Torah mentions the "season of war," as well as acts expected of both parties, such as not letting the enemy starve or be without water. And the well known Sun Tzu also stuck his twopenneth in, apparently! So there have always been regulations around war. They've evolved, so why can't they again, to form a modern warfare where territory can be gained or lost, without physical damage and the inevitable environmental impact? We celebrate AI and Virtual Reality, yet still kill each other. Do you see our thinking? In this modern time, why are we killing each other for land?

To summarise; the grain, keep it growing, keep it flowing and we need the land to grow it in.

13Mar2025_16

23th inc.